

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/645,084	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MORENO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL G. MARIAM	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(2) MARY LOU WAKIMURA.
**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 April 2007
**Time:** 10:00AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*none*
**Claims discussed:**
*independent claims 1, 10, and 18*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*US 2005/0100992*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims in light of the newly surfaced prior art, namely (Noble). Applicants have agreed to amend the independent claims to over-come the prior art of Noble. See Examiner's amendment for details*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**DANIEL MIRIAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)